

THE ART AND SCIENCE OF INTEGRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

30 May - 2 June 2011

EVALUATION

The evaluation form was completed by 4 of the 5 participants

1. Did the course generally meet your expectations? <i>Comments:</i>	Not at all 1	2	Moderately 3	4	V. much 5 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not enough on implementation 					
2. How would you describe this course to your colleagues? <i>Comments:</i>	a waste 1	so-so 2	informative 3	worth it 4 1	take it! 5 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very focused on unknowns → need to market to policy research officers Provocative, informative, horizon-broadening, theoretical (scored 4) 					
3. How do you rate the opening dinner (Monday)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4	Very good 5 4
4. How do you rate the introduction to the I2S framework (Tuesday, Gabriele Bammer)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4	Very good 5 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interact/introduce web resources as you introduce the frameworks V. clear 					
5. How do you rate the session on modeling (Wednesday am, Jen Badham)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4 1	Very good 5 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Make more direct reference to the modeling paper/resource (scored 4) V. clear 					
6. How do you rate the session on policy making as a system (Wednesday am, Gabriele Bammer)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3 1	4	Very good 5 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear, but territory covered not as new or provocative as other parts of course for participants (scored 3) 					
7. How do you rate the session on resources (Wednesday pm, Gabriele Bammer and Peter Deane)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4 3	Very good 5 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Straightforward 					
8. How do you rate the session on ways of understanding unknowns (Wednesday pm, Mike Smithson)? <i>Comments:</i>	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4	Very good 5 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very important area Revealing, clear 					

9. How do you rate the session on ways of managing unknowns (Thursday am, Mike Smithson)?	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4	Very good 5 4
---	----------------	---	---------	---	---------------------

Comments:

- See previous
- Revealing, clear

10. How do you rate the session on why implementation is difficult (Thursday am, Jen Badham)?	Very poor 1	2	OK 3 1	4	Very good 5 3
---	----------------	---	--------------	---	---------------------

Comments:

- Too shallow (scored 3)
- Fun exercises good communicators device

11. How do you rate the session on dialogue methods for knowledge synthesis (Thursday pm, David McDonald)?	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4 1	Very good 5 3
--	----------------	---	---------	--------	---------------------

Comments:

- Great overview probably good to have case studies (scored 5)
- I would have liked this area to be expanded! (scored 5)
- Clear exposition (scored 5)

12. How do you rate the wrap-up session (Thursday pm)?	Very poor 1	2	OK 3	4	Very good 5
Comments:	N=3			1	2

13. Was the course helpful for meeting like-minded peers?	Not at all 1	2	Moderately 3 1	4 1	Very 5 2
---	-----------------	---	----------------------	--------	----------------

Comments:

- Good diversity of views (scored 5)
- Fascinating x-section of professions/fields represented (scored 5)

14. How suitable was the length of the course?	Too long 1	2	Fine 3 2	4 1	Too short 5
--	---------------	---	----------------	--------	----------------

Comments:

- Probably could be longer → more real life case study re implementation (scored 2)

15. Did the course provide value for money?	Low 1	2	Moderate 3	4	High 5
Comments:	N=3			1	2

16. What was the most important thing you will take away from the course?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More confidence regarding dealing with unknowns and anxious clients/employees • <u>Seeing</u> the unknowns and valuing their role in decision making / questioning of 'assumptions' / confirmation of self as an integrator • I thought the dialogue methodology was particularly useful (also comment Q11 – wanted expanded) • Increased number of perspectives/approaches combined with clearer idea of how to approach problems, projects, policy makers 				
---	--	--	--	--	--

17. What was the most disappointing thing you'll remember from the course?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More opportunity to network @ night rather than in breaks • Really no area disappointing • The absence of a tangible way of dealing with unknowns (I am requesting the impossible here!) • The coffee 				
--	--	--	--	--	--

18. Other Comments, Recommendations, Requests?

- The size is about right...5 participants – I wonder if you got to be >10 whether that would be too many
- Look forward to doing further reading. Thank you so much for the books and the directions to resources to sustain this beyond the moment of the course itself
- Recommend further courses on expanded topics from those covered in a preliminary fashion here!
- Perhaps some specified reading ahead of time and example of problems most appropriate for analysis!