

#### THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Do We Need a New Discipline to Document and Transmit Problem-based Learnings?

> Gabriele Bammer ANU and Harvard University





#### Yes!

- Integration and Implementation Sciences (I2S)
- www.anu.edu.au/iisn







- Why do we need Integration and Implementation Sciences?
- What are the core concepts and methods?
- Issues for application and institutionalization



Why do we need Integration and Implementation Sciences?

- Boost contribution of university research
- No well-established institutional structures
- No core methodological underpinning
- Recurrent methodological challenges that are noone's mandate



#### Core concepts and methods...1

1. Compiling work of others through an 'integration and implementation' lens



2. Application in projects





### Core concepts and methods...2

Primary areas: - population health

- environment
- policing and security



DD

(- technological innovation)



ARACY

LWA

**GECAFS** 



#### Core concepts and methods...3

Fresh thinking for intractable problems

Integrating disciplinary and practice (stakeholder) knowledge Understanding and managing ignorance and uncertainty

Providing research support for decision making and practice change



# Fresh thinking for intractable problems ...1

Range of methods to promote creative thinking Focus on Executive Sessions methodology

- Harvard University
- 17 completed since 1984
- Around 30 innovative and influential participants
- 2/3 practitioners, 1/3 researchers
- Ideological diversity
- 6 x 3day meetings over 3 years
- 'Ripe' topic



# Fresh thinking for intractable problems ...2

- America's Juvenile Justice System 1984
- Policing 1985 1993
- State and Local Prosecutors 1987 1990
- Making the System Work for Poor Children 1988 1990
- New Paradigms for Child Protective Services 1994 1997
- Medical Error and Patient Safety 1998 2000
- Public Defense 1999 2001
- Future of Philanthropy 1999 2002
- Domestic Preparedness 1999 2003
- American Indian Constitutional Reform 2001 2003
- Faith-based and Community Approaches to Urban Revitalization 2002 – 2003



#### **Core concepts and methods**

Fresh thinking for intractable problems

Integrating disciplinary and practice (stakeholder) knowledge Understanding and managing ignorance and uncertainty

Providing research support for decision making and practice change



Systems approach

- View of the whole
- Systems principles and methods



Scoping, problem framing and boundary setting

- Better methods
- Cant do everything:

– Importance of knowing that what is included, excluded and marginalised

– Importance of understanding, managing and communicating uncertainty better



#### Importance of collaboration = harnessing difference

- Integrating relevant differences
- Managing incidental differences



#### Integration Framework:

- 1. For what and for whom?
- 2. Of what?
- 3. By whom?
- 4. How?
- 5. Context?
- 6. Impact?



### For what and for whom?

### What are the aims of the integration and who is intended to benefit?

## Differentiate integration aims from project aims and big picture aims



#### Integration of what?





### Integration of what – more detail





#### Integration by whom?



Whole group



Subgroup



Individual



Integration 'specialist'





#### No existing toolkit

Models

Dialogue



**Common metric** 

Product

'Vision'



#### Toolkit especially for matching 'of what' and 'how'





#### **Dialogue tools**







### Relevant political context, history of the problem, institutions involved, etc

Anything that might affect the integration approach





#### Success of the integration processes?

#### Did the integration contribute to the project success?



#### **Core concepts and methods**

Fresh thinking for intractable problems

Integrating disciplinary and practice (stakeholder) knowledge Understanding and managing ignorance and uncertainty

Providing research support for decision making and practice change



- Understanding is comparatively unsophisticated
- Problems include multiple types of ignorance and uncertainty
- Decisions require strong appreciation of ignorance and uncertainty
- Nobody's mandate to pull different approaches together



#### **STATISTICS -** probability theory

Music – essential for creativity

History – moral dimension

Intelligence – gaps or overload

Art – certainty and uncertainty are a continuum, not opposites

Complexity - irreducible

Futures – unknown unknowns

Religion – desirable vs fundamentalism





Known knowns	Known unknowns
Unknown knowns (tacit knowledge)	Unknown unknowns



#### Typologies eg Smithson, 1989





#### **Core concepts and methods**

Fresh thinking for intractable problems

Integrating disciplinary and practice (stakeholder) knowledge Understanding and managing ignorance and uncertainty

Providing research support for decision making and practice change



#### **Decision support**

- 1. understanding decision making processes, eg government policy making or business commercial decision making
- 2. appreciating the attributes of influential research
- 3. delineating different types of researcher-decision maker engagement – ranging from one-way communication to the co-production of knowledge – and their strengths and weaknesses
- 4. understanding how institutions can influence which research is taken up by decision makers
- 5. developing more effective ways to evaluate research support for decision making



#### **Practice Change**

#### Effective change – what can we learn from

- Advertising
- Organisational change
- Agricultural extension
- Health promotion
- Counselling
- Diffusion of innovation
- Social entrepreneurship
- Community organising







- Why do we need Integration and Implementation Sciences?
- What are the core concepts and methods?
- Issues for application and institutionalization



- Academic orphans
- Cross-cutting discipline





#### Application and institutionalization ...2

Graduate Courses

Courses for Research Managers

Integration and Implementation Sciences Network <u>www.anu.edu.au/iisn</u>

Join us!



Acknowledgements

Funding: Fulbright, Colonial Foundation Trust, NHMRC, LWA, Hauser Center, NCI (ISIS), GECAFS, CCES (ETH-Zurich), ARC Centre of Excellence in Policing and Security

Caryn Anderson, Peter Deane, Lorrae van Kerkhoff, David McDonald, Lyndall Strazdins, Helen Berry; NCEPH, Hauser and CID colleagues

Gerald Midgley, Wendy Gregory, Pascal Perez, Anne Dray Gertrude Hirsch Hadorn, Christian Pohl, Christoph Kueffer

DPMP – Alison Ritter, Margaret Hamilton Uncertainty – Michael Smithson, Damon Muller



#### **Post-amble**

- "Multidisciplinarity is the way of the future"
- How to operationalize research that crosses disciplines
- 1991-1997 The ACT Heroin Trial
- Systematize process

